1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 CASE NO. C21-1337JLR PROTEMPO LIMITED, et al., 10 ORDER Plaintiffs, 11 v. 12 G.A.E.M.S., INC., et al., 13 Defendants. 14 15 Before the court is the parties' second stipulated motion to extend dates and 16 deadlines pending performance of settlement terms. (2d Stip. Mot. (Dkt. # 42).) The 17 parties seek to extend to Wednesday, July 20, 2022, the noting date, as well as related 18 response and reply deadlines, for: (1) Plaintiffs Protempo Limited and Protempo US Limited's (collectively, "Protempo") motion for default against Defendants DWG 19 Holding Company ("DWG") and G.A.E.M.S., Inc. ("GAEMS") (collectively, 20 "Defendants"); and (2) GAEMS's motion for leave to file an answer. (See Default Mot. 21 (Dkt. #27); Answer Mot. (Dkt. #39).) The parties request this relief "to 22

provide . . . additional time to implement the terms of their settlement before seeking dismissal of" this matter. (See 2d Stip. Mot. at 3.) Accordingly, for good cause shown, the court GRANTS the second stipulated motion to extend dates and deadlines (Dkt. #42). The Clerk is DIRECTED to renote for July 20, 2022, Protempo's motion for default (Dkt. # 27) and GAEMS's motion for leave to file an answer (Dkt. #39). The parties are warned, however, that the court will be unlikely to grant further extension requests. DATED this 21st day of June, 2022. m R. Rlit JAMĖS L. ROBART United States District Judge